		ELECTRONICALLY FILEI October 23, 2006
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	FRANK A. MEROLA (CA State Bar No. 136934) EVE H. KARASIK (CA State Bar No. 155356) ANDREW M. PARLEN (CA State Bar No. 230429), 1901 Avenue of the Stars, 12 th Floor Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: (310) 228-5600 Facsimile: (310) 228-5788	SHEA & CARLYON, LTD. JAMES PATRICK SHEA (Nevada State Bar No. 000405) CANDACE C. CARLYON (Nevada State Bar No. 002666) SHLOMO S. SHERMAN (Nevada State Bar No. 009688) 228 South Fourth Street, FirstFloor Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: (702) 471-7432 Facsimile: (702) 471-7435 Email: jshea@sheacarlyon.com
9	UNITED STATES BANK	,
10 11 12 13	In re: USA COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE COMPANY, Debtor. In re: USA CAPITAL REALTY ADVISORS, LLC,	
14 15	Debtor. In re: USA CAPITAL DIVERSIFIED TRUST DEED FUND, Debtor.	BK-S-06-10727-LBR Chapter 11
16	In re: USA CAPITAL FIRST TRUST DEED FUND, LLC, Debtor.) BK-S-06-10728-LBR Chapter 11
17 18	In re: USA SECURITIES, LLC, Debtor.) BK-S-06-10729-LBR) Chapter 11
19 20 21 22	Affects All Debtors USA Commercial Mortgage Co. USA Securities, LLC USA Capital Realty Advisors, LLC USA Capital Diversified Trust Deed USA First Trust Deed Fund, LLC)) Date: October 30, 2006) Time: 9:30 a.m.))
23 24	LIMITED OBJECTION OF THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF EQUITY SECURITY HOLDERS OF USA CAPITAL FIRST TRUST DEED FUND, LLC TO MOTION FOR ORDER APPROVING USE OF CASH THROUGH JANUARY 31, 2007 PURSUANT TO FOURTH	
25	REVISED BUDGET (AFFEC	
26		
27		
28		

404263v1

TO THE HONORABLE LINDA B. RIEGLE, UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE:

In response to the "Motion for Order Approving Use of Cash through January 31, 2007 pursuant to Fourth Revised Budget," (the "Cash Motion"), the Official Committee of Equity Security Holders of USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC (the "FTDF Committee") hereby submits its limited objection to the Motion as follows:

On October 5, 2006, USA Commercial Mortgage Company ("USACM"), USA Capital Realty Advisors, LLC ("USA Realty"), USA Capital Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC, USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC (the "FTDF"), and USA Securities, LLC (collectively, the "Debtors") filed the Cash Motion. which is set for hearing on October 30, 2006. In their usual fashion, rather than file the Cash Budget with the Motion, the Debtors advised in the Motion that the Debtors would file the Cash Budget with the Court on October 20, 2006. The Cash Motion, however, is absolutely meaningless without the Cash Budget. The date for objections to the Motion is October 23, 2006, thereby giving parties in interest only two weekend days to review and prepare a response to the Cash Motion.

Given the lack of a meaningful response time, the four Committees and the Debtors entered in to a stipulation that sets forth later objection and reply dates, which is subject to the approval of the Court (the "Cash Motion Stipulation"). The Cash Motion Stipulation was finally signed by the Debtors on October 20, 2006 and was thereafter promptly filed with the Court. As of the date of filing this pleading (the same date as the original response to the Cash Motion would be due), the Court had not yet entered the Cash Motion Stipulation. Accordingly, the FTDF Committee is compelled to submit this limited objection to the Cash Motion, which may be supplemented in the event the parties are unable to resolve the FTDF Committee's issues related to the Cash Budget and the Court enters the Cash Motion Stipulation.

The FTDF Committee (after preliminary review) has three issues with the Cash Budget. First, the Cash Budget appears to provide that FTDF will pay USA Realty a management fee for serving as manager of the FTDF. The FTDF Committee has advised the Debtors that they may reserve for the management fee from FTDF loan collections, but that such fee should not be paid over to USA Realty or any other Debtor entity. The FTDF's obligation to

404263v1 2

pay the management fee is in dispute as FTDF has claims against USA Realty for mismanagement and may have offset or recoupment rights. Furthermore, the FTDF has also been asked to pay nearly a 10% share of all of the fees and costs incurred by Mesirow Financial (collectively, "Mesirow"), which effectively is serving as the manager of the FTDF. The FTDF should not be required to pay both the fee allocation for Mesirow as well as the USA Realty management fee. Accordingly, the Debtors should be required to reserve and not pay to USA Realty or any other related entity the management fee at this time until the disputes among the estates are resolved by settlement or judgment.

Second, the Cash Budget provides for a \$130,000 monthly allocation to the FTDF for the Debtors' professional fees and costs. This proposed allocation appears to be the settlement negotiated on the eve of the last fee application hearing between the Debtors' professionals and the USACM Committee without the consent of the FTDF Committee. The FTDF Committee subsequently agreed to an additional interim allocation of the Debtors' professional fees and costs subject to the FTDF Committee's rights to contest such increased allocation prior to the final hearing on professional fee applications and so long as the USACM paid any increased allocation for the FTDF until the allocation is resolved on a final basis. While the FTDF Committee is not certain of the Debtors' intent with respect to the \$130,000 monthly charge to the FTDF contained in the Cash Budget, the Debtors should not be permitted through the Cash Budget to effect a permanent allocation of the Debtors' professional fees and costs absent the consent of the FTDF Committee.

Finally, as noted by the FTDF Committee in is response to each of the motions to use cash filed by the Debtors in these cases since the Petition Date, the FTDF professional fee and cost amounts contained in the Cash Budget are merely estimates used for budget purposes and the actual fees and costs may be lower or higher then the budgeted amounts, and inclusion of the fees and costs in the Cash Budget should have no bearing on the actual fees and costs allowed for FTDF professionals. Likewise, the fees and costs budgeted for the Debtors' professionals as well as the professionals for the other Committees as reflected in the Cash Budget are not deemed allowed fee and cost amounts, and all parties' rights are reserved to object

404263v1 3

to professional fees and costs pursuant to the interim fee procedure applicable in these cases and as otherwise ordered by the Court.

WHEREFORE, the FTDF Committee requests that Court approve the Motion only if: (1) any management fees to be charged to the FTDF are reserved and not paid over to any Debtor entity; (2) any professional fee and expense allocation contained in the Cash Budget is deemed interim, is subject to the FTDF Committee objection up until the final hearing on fee applications in these cases, and any additional fee and expense allocation to the FTDF is paid by USACM until the allocation dispute is resolved by agreement or judgment; and (3) all professional fees and costs contained in the Cash Budget are estimates, are not deemed to be allowed, and the right of all parties are reserved to object to such fees and costs at a future date.

11

12

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Respectfully submitted this 23rd day of October, 2006.

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

and

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

FRANK A. MEROLA (CA State Bar No. 136934), EVE H. KARASIK (CA State Bar No. 155356), and ANDREW M. PARLEN (CA State Bar No. 230429), Members of STUTMAN, TREISTER & GLATT, P.C.

1901 Avenue of the Stars, 12th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067

Telephone: (310) 228-5600

CANDACE C. CARLYON

Shea & Carlyon, Ltd.

228 S. Fourth Street, First Floor

Las Vegas, NV 89101

Telephone: (702) 471-7432

COUNSEL FOR THE

OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF EQUITY SECURITY HOLDERS

OF USA CAPITAL FIRST TRUST DEED FUND, LLC

404263v1